

FILED
IN THE HO-CHUNK NATION
~~TRIAL~~/SUPREME COURT

MAR 08 2006

me
Clerk of Court/Assistant

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

IN THE
HO-CHUNK NATION SUPREME COURT

ONA GARVIN,

Appellant,

vs.

HO-CHUNK NATION ELECTION BOARD,

Appellee.

ORDER (Dismissing Appeal)

Case No.: SU 05-11


Case below: CV 05-90

The appellant in this matter, Ona Garvin represented by Richard Monette, moved the Court to dismiss this appeal due to the fact she had prevailed in her request for a *Preliminary Injunction* in the underlying case, CV 05-90. *See Letter from Richard Monette* dated November 28, 2005. There has been no objection filed by the appellee.

Given that this case was an interlocutory appeal and the appellant has apparently obtained the preliminary relief they requested, this Court accepts the appellant's request to dismiss the appeal. This does not constitute a decision on the merits and the appellant's right to renew an appeal should the Trial Court rule against her is preserved.

The appeal in this matter is hereby dismissed without prejudice.

~~EGIHESKETJET~~ this 8th day of March, 2006.


Hon. Mark Butterfield
HCN Associate Justice

FILED
IN THE HO-CHUNK NATION
TRIAL/SUPREME COURT

MAR 08 2006

me

Clerk of Court/Assistant

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

IN THE
HO-CHUNK NATION SUPREME COURT

ONA GARVIN,

Appellant,

vs.

HO-CHUNK NATION ELECTION BOARD,

Appellee.

ORDER (Dismissing Appeal)

Case No.: SU 05-11

Case below: CV 05-90

The appellant in this matter, Ona Garvin represented by Richard Monette, moved the Court to dismiss this appeal due to the fact she had prevailed in her request for a *Preliminary Injunction* in the underlying case, CV 05-90. See *Letter from Richard Monette* dated November 28, 2005. There has been no objection filed by the appellee.


Given that this case was an interlocutory appeal and the appellant has apparently obtained the preliminary relief they requested, this Court accepts the appellant's request to dismiss the appeal. This does not constitute a decision on the merits and the appellant's right to renew an appeal should the Trial Court rule against her is preserved.

The appeal in this matter is hereby dismissed without prejudice.

EGI HESKETJET this 8th day of March, 2006.


Hon. Mark Butterfield
HCN Associate Justice

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE



I, Mary K. Endthoff, Clerk of the Ho-Chunk Nation Supreme Court, do hereby certify that on the date set forth below, I served a true and correct copy of the Order (Dismissing Appeal) in Case No. SU 05-11, upon all persons listed below:

By United States Postal Service and Fax:

Attorney Richard Monette
Brown & LaCounte, LLP
3001 W. Beltline Hwy.
Suite 304
Madison, WI 53713

Attorney Glenn Reynolds
Reynolds & Associates
407 East Main Street
Madison, WI 53703-4276

Attorney Michael Murphy
HCN Department of Justice
P.O. Box 667
Black River Falls, WI 54615

Dated: March 8, 2006


Mary K. Endthoff, Clerk of Court
Ho-Chunk Nation Supreme Court